UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,291	07/28/2003	Guangqiang Jiang	A329-USA	6474
24677 ALFRED E. M	7590 06/25/2007 ANN FOUNDATION FOI	EXAMINER		
SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH			GEDEON, BRIAN T	
PO BOX 905 SANTA CLAR	BOX 905 VTA CLARITA, CA 91380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3766	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/629,291	JIANG ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brian T. Gedeon	3766		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	July 2003.			
·	•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-28, drawn to a method of forming an implantable housing using tetragonal polycrystal zirconia ceramic using hot isostatic pressing, classified in class 264, subclass 603.
 - II. Claims 29-31, drawn to an inert ceramic comprised of tetragonal polycrystal zirconia, classified in class 501, subclass 18.
 - III. Claims 32-34, drawn to an implantable microstimulator housing, classified in class 607, subclass 36.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of group III does not necessarily have to be produced by the methods of group I. Group III may contain similar structural materials as group I, but group III does not necessarily have to meet the conditions and steps of group I in order to be realized.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

Art Unit: 3766

process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of group II does not necessarily have to be produced by the methods of group I. Group II may contain similar structural materials as group I, but group II does not necessarily have to meet the conditions and steps of group I in order to be realized.

Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product, group II, is deemed to be useful as general ceramic material that does not necessarily have to be used as an implantable microstimulator housing and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), and finally because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,291

Art Unit: 3766

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 4

- 5. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Gedeon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3766

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian T. Gedeon Patent Examiner Art Unit 3766 Angela D. Sykes Acting Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3766 Page 5

BTG

KRISTEN D. MULLEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700